

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/757,079	Applicant(s) BIRRELL, MICHAEL IAN	
	Examiner N Edwards	Art Unit 1774	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Primary Examiner Edwards.

(3) _____

(2) Michael Gratz.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

New Matter

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



N. EDWARDS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Primary Examiner where is support for claim 1 Line 6 "the substrate to form a single consolidation". Mr Grate pointed to page 2 line s 16-18 and page 5, lines 1-5. Claim 1 appears to have New matter. See claims 15, 12, 17 for same problem. Applicant will be getting a restriction requirement when prosecution is reopened. Also see 11/572,297 for future ODP..